

2015 ADAA Scientific Research Symposium

TREATMENT FOR ANHEDONIA: A NEUROSCIENCE DRIVEN APPROACH

Michelle G. Craske, Ph.D.,^{1*} Alicia E. Meuret, Ph.D.,² Thomas Ritz, Ph.D.,² Michael Treanor, Ph.D.,¹ and Halina J. Dour, M.A.¹

Anhedonia, or loss of interest or pleasure in usual activities, is characteristic of depression, some types of anxiety, as well as substance abuse and schizophrenia. Anhedonia is a predictor of poor long-term outcomes, including suicide, and poor treatment response. Because extant psychological and pharmacological treatments are relatively ineffective for anhedonia, there is an unmet therapeutic need for this high-risk symptom. Current psychological and drug treatments for anxiety and depression focus largely on reducing excesses in negative affect rather than improving deficits in positive affect. Recent advances in affective neuroscience posit that anhedonia is associated with deficits in the appetitive reward system, specifically the anticipation, consumption, and learning of reward. In this paper, we review the evidence for positive affect as a symptom cluster, and its neural underpinnings, and introduce a novel psychological treatment for anxiety and depression that targets appetitive responding. First, we review anhedonia in relation to positive and negative valence systems and current treatment approaches. Second, we discuss the evidence linking anhedonia to biological, experiential, and behavioral deficits in the reward subsystems. Third, we describe the therapeutic approach for Positive Affect Treatment (PAT), an intervention designed to specifically target deficits in reward sensitivity. Depression and Anxiety 33:927–938, 2016. © 2016 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: depression; anhedonia; positive affect; intervention; neural, behavior

INTRODUCTION

A number of psychological treatments have shown to be effective for anxiety and unipolar depression, including cognitive behavioral therapy, behavioral activation therapy, interpersonal therapy, problem solving therapy, and, more recently, mindfulness-based approaches

and acceptance and commitment therapy.^[1–5] However, these treatments remain only partially effective. For example, within the anxiety disorders, rates of “clinically significant” improvement for cognitive and behavioral therapies hover around 50%.^[6] Similar rates have been observed in the treatment of unipolar depression.^[4] Thus, there is a strong need for newer treatment models that improve treatment outcomes.

Traditionally, psychological treatments for anxiety and unipolar depression aimed to reduce negative affect and associated impairment in functioning, albeit via differing therapeutic strategies and purported mediators. The focus upon negative affect (such as anxiety, fear, guilt, shame, sadness), however, ignores the deficits in positive affect that also characterize anxiety and depression. It has long been recognized that at least two core systems regulate thoughts, behaviors, and actions.^[7–9] The first is the approach or appetitive system, which motivates actions toward goals and rewards, and produces positive emotions such as enthusiasm and pride. The second is the withdrawal or defensive system

¹Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, California

²Department of Psychology, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas

*Correspondence to: Michelle G. Craske, Department of Psychology, UCLA, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90095. E-mail: craske@psych.ucla.edu

Received for publication 14 September 2015; Revised 19 February 2016; Accepted 22 February 2016

DOI 10.1002/da.22490

Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).

that motivates avoidance of aversive outcomes or punishments, and is linked with negative emotions such as anxiety and depression. Theorists have varied in terminology and the extent to which additional systems are postulated, although all include at least two basic dimensions describing this dichotomy.^[10] Gray (1990)^[11] describes a Behavioral Activation System that motivates approach, a behavioral inhibition system that motivates withdrawal, and an additional fight-flight system that energizes responses to unconditioned threat stimuli. Others like Davidson (2003)^[12] do not conceptualize separate defensive systems supporting withdrawal and fight-or-flight. Despite the fundamental role played by both appetitive and defensive systems in unipolar depression and anxiety or fear, treatment to date has focused largely upon decreasing defensive responding rather than increasing appetitive Responding.

In this paper, we outline a new model of psychological treatment for anxiety and depression that targets appetitive responding (or the positive valence system). Our proposed approach is relevant to unipolar depression, but does not currently extend to bipolar depression. As such, the term “transdiagnostic” only applies to the unipolar depression and anxiety spectrum, but not the full mood spectrum. The adaptability to bipolar disorder awaits careful testing, given the unique challenges in the reward system dysregulation in this disorder.^[13,14] Before discussing the treatment per se, we first review the relationship between the construct of positive affect and symptoms of anxiety and depression as well as its neural underpinnings.

NEGATIVE AFFECT AND POSITIVE AFFECT

Investigations of the structure of symptoms of anxiety and depression has reliably identified two factors, one representing negative affect and the other representing positive affect. For example, the original “tripartite model” of fear, anxiety, and depression^[7] identified a negative affect (or general distress) factor that was comprised of symptoms that were shared across anxiety and depression. Symptoms of anhedonia, or the absence of positive affect, were viewed as being specific to depression whereas symptoms of physiological hyperarousal were specific to “anxiety” (it was later recognized that hyperarousal may be specific to panic disorder^[9]). More recent models recognize more complex and hierarchical structures for symptoms of anxiety and depression, but continue to identify separable factors associated with negative affect and with positive affect.^[9,15]

Structural models of symptoms of anxiety and depression, and the elucidation of factors of negative affect and positive affect, served as one of the cornerstones for the NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) Initiative.^[16,17] For research purposes, the RDoC initiative proposes a set of dimensional constructs for studying psychopathology: negative valence systems, positive valence systems, cognitive systems, systems for social processes, and arousal or regulatory systems.

Furthermore, the RDoC drives a units-of-analysis approach to the study of psychopathology, at the center of which is behavioral neuroscience, extending upward to clinically relevant variation and downward to genetic and molecular or cellular factors. Table 1 lists each of the RDoC five domains with their description, which can be analyzed on at least seven levels (*units of analysis*), including genes, molecules, cells, circuits, physiology, behavior, self-reports, and paradigms, although most studies will likely only include a subset of the suggested units. The paradigmatic shift offered by the RDoC initiative was influenced by Engel’s seminal conceptualization of the biopsychosocial model^[18] and more recently fueled by major advances in affective neuroscience that have begun to elucidate the mechanisms underlying negative and positive valence systems.

ANHEDONIA, DEPRESSION, AND ANXIETY

Symptomatic deficits in positive affect are referred to as anhedonia, and involve loss of enjoyment in pleasurable activities or loss of desire to engage in pleasurable activities.^[19,20] Within major depression, anhedonia is more strongly associated with the core disturbances of depression (e.g. lassitude) than with the nonspecific symptoms that are shared with other emotional disorders (e.g. insomnia).^[21] Approximately one third of depressed individuals have clinically significant anhedonia symptoms, at least as defined by cutoffs on scales that measure enjoyment of social and physical pleasure.^[22] Anhedonia extends beyond major depression to anxiety. Low levels of positive emotion have been consistently linked to symptoms and diagnoses of social anxiety disorder, although with a smaller magnitude of effect than depression.^[9,23] Anhedonia is associated with symptoms of generalized anxiety disorder.^[15] Furthermore, in experimental paradigms (described further below), hedonic impairments have been observed in social anxiety disorder,^[24] posttraumatic stress disorder,^[25,26] and generalized anxiety disorder^[27] including youth samples.^[28] Finally, anhedonia is also relevant to schizophrenia^[21] and substance use disorder^[29] and as such represents a dimension of psychopathology that crosses diagnostic boundaries.

Anhedonia is not only a correlate but is also a risk marker of psychopathology. For example, self-reported anhedonia (lower levels of positive emotion) is a robust predictor of poorer longitudinal course of symptoms of major depression across a number of prospective studies.^[30] In addition, anhedonia within major depression is a substantial predictor of suicide^[31] as well as suicidal ideation, where the predictive effects persist even when controlling for other cognitive and affective symptoms of depression.^[32] Moreover, the trait variance component of low positive affect prospectively predicted the onset of not only major depression but also social anxiety disorder and generalized anxiety disorder, although the effects were indirect and accounted for by neuroticism.^[33]

TABLE 1. NIMH research domain criteria (rdoc) project-positive valence systems: workshop proceedings

Construct	Construct definition
1. Approach motivation	A multifaceted construct involving mechanisms/processes that regulate the direction and maintenance of approach behavior influenced by preexisting tendencies, learning, memory, stimulus characteristics, and deprivation states. Approach behavior can be directed toward innate or acquired cues (i.e. unconditioned vs. learned stimuli), implicit, or explicit goals; it can consist of goal-directed or Pavlovian-conditioned responses. Component processes include reward valuation, effort valuation/willingness to work, expectancy/reward prediction error, and action selection/decision making.
1a. Reward valuation	Processes by which the probability and benefits of a prospective outcome are computed and calibrated by reference to external information, social context (e.g. group input, counterfactual comparisons), and/or prior experience. This calibration is influenced by preexisting biases, learning, memory, stimulus characteristics, and deprivation states. Reward valuation may involve the assignment of incentive salience to stimuli.
1b. Effort valuation/willingness to work	Processes by which the cost(s) of obtaining an outcome is computed; tendency to overcome response costs to obtain a reinforcer.
1c. Expectancy/reward prediction error	A state triggered by exposure to internal or external stimuli, experiences or contexts that predict the possibility of reward. Reward expectation can alter the experience of an outcome and can influence the use of resources (e.g. cognitive resources).
1d. Action selection/preference-based decision making	Processes involving an evaluation of costs/benefits and occurring in the context of multiple potential choices being available for decision-making.
2. Initial responsiveness to reward attainment	Mechanisms/processes associated with hedonic responses—as reflected in subjective experiences, behavioral responses, and/or engagement of the neural systems to a positive reinforcer—and culmination of reward seeking.
3. Sustained/longer term responsiveness to reward attainment	Mechanisms/processes associated with the termination of reward seeking, e.g. satisfaction, satiation, regulation of consummatory behavior.
4. Reward learning	A process by which organisms acquire information about stimuli, actions, and contexts that predict positive outcomes, and by which behavior is modified when a novel reward occurs or outcomes are better than expected. Reward learning is a type of reinforcement learning, and similar processes may be involved in learning related to negative reinforcement.
5. Habit	Sequential, repetitive, motor, or cognitive behaviors elicited by external or internal triggers that, once initiated, can go to completion without constant conscious oversight. Habits can be adaptive by virtue of freeing up cognitive resources. Habit formation is a frequent consequence of reward learning, but its expression can become resistant to changes in outcome value. Related behaviors could be pathological expression of a process that under normal circumstances subserves adaptive goals.

Source: <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/research-priorities/rdoc/development-and-definitions-of-the-rdoc-domains-and-constructs.shtml>.

Furthermore, anhedonia predicts poorer response to pharmacological treatments for depression.^[34,35] We were unable to locate published studies of anhedonia as a predictor of psychological treatments. However, using data from our recent trial of cognitive and behavioral therapies for social anxiety disorder ($n = 75$),^[36] we found that low positive affect at baseline was a significant predictor of poorer outcomes ($b = -.70$, $B = -.25$, $P = .045$).

Existing psychological and pharmacological treatments are relatively ineffective for treating anhedonia. Specifically, standard medication treatments have little effect and may even worsen anhedonic symptoms.^[37-39] In fact, anhedonia was one of the most prevalent residual disturbances following fluoxetine treatment.^[40] This has led to interest in newer treatments such as ketamine that improve anhedonia, at least in the short-term.^[41] In terms of psychological treatments, behavioral activation therapy is designed to increase response contingent positive reinforcement.^[42] However, very few studies have reported the effects of behavioral activation upon

positive affect. An iteration of Lejuez and Hopkos' version of behavioral activation therapy (which gives less emphasis to response contingent positive reinforcement than other versions) failed to improve self-rated trait anhedonia in one small-scale study^[43] and failed to improve self-rated state anhedonia in another study with a larger sample, albeit with a briefer version of behavioral activation.^[44] In a reanalysis of a large randomized controlled trial by DeRubeis et al. (2005),^[45] cognitive therapy and antidepressant medication normalized elevations in negative affect but had limited effect on positive affect. Specifically, relative to general adult population norms,^[46] percentile scores on the Negative Affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) changed from 88 to 59% for cognitive therapy and from 87 to 49% for medication treatment.^[47] In contrast, end-of-treatment levels on the Positive Affect scale remained lower than typical for the general adult population; percentile scores changed from 9 to 28% for cognitive therapy and from 5 to 31% for medication. We were unable to locate reports of the

effects of interpersonal therapy, another evidence-based psychological treatment for depression, upon positive affect.

It is possible that further investigation of behavioral activation with strong emphasis upon response-contingent positive reinforcement would yield beneficial effects upon positive affect. Nonetheless, the limited effect to date is not surprising in light of the fact that little attention has been given to how behavioral activation is best conducted in order to maximize positive emotional experience.^[48] Treatment strategies that specifically target the mechanisms underlying anhedonia are needed to improve treatment effects.

ANHEDONIA: BRAIN TO BEHAVIOR

Anhedonia can be viewed as a symptom of an underlying dysregulated pleasure system. Based on the pleasure cycle model, reward engagement serves a survival function, following a cyclical time course with rewards acting as motivational magnets to initiate, sustain, and switch states. Classically, reward processing has been linked to appetitive, consummatory, and satiety cycle phases^[49] and are supported by multiple brain networks associated with liking, wanting, and learning.^[50] While wanting processes dominate the appetitive phase and liking processes dominate the consummatory phase, learning is thought to be strongest during the satiety phase, but can also occur throughout the pleasure cycle. The reward system affects the ability to anticipate or predict expected rewards; associate relative values and costs with rewards; determine the effort required to obtain rewards; integrate this information to decide whether it is worthwhile to obtain rewards; and become motivated to perform the necessary actions to obtain rewards.^[51] While researchers may emphasize different parts of the reward system, there is some convergence upon three main components: (1) anticipation of reward, (2) consumption of reward, and (3) learning of reward.^[29,51] In this approach, the anticipatory component refers to the motivation for rewarding stimuli, such as planning and looking forward to a vacation, and is related to the effort expended to receive reward. It is dominated by “wanting.” The consummatory component refers to the pleasure or hedonic impact of rewarding stimuli, such as the pleasure while on vacation, and is dominated by “liking.” The learning component typically involves Pavlovian or instrumental associations and predictions about future rewards based on past experiences, such as the decision to take another vacation given the rewards of the last vacation.

Individuals who are depressed show deficits in the anticipation and learning of reward across multiple indices, and in the consumption of reward on certain indices.^[52,53] More importantly, there is compelling evidence that reward hyposensitivity in depression reflects anhedonia.^[29,54–57] In the following sections, we summarize the neural to behavioral correlates of reward responding and their relationships to anhedonia, using the

three-component approach. We recognize that that others may emphasize different distinctions and constructs of relevance to the reward system (such as satiety and goal-directed versus habitual responding).

Anticipation/Wanting/Motivation. While it is difficult to fully disentangle reward anticipation and reward consumption from reward learning (since motivational factors inherent to the anticipation of reward and the hedonic impact inherent to the consumption of reward likely influence reward learning^[51]), there is good evidence for distinctive neural substrates for the anticipation versus consumption components of the reward system. Specifically, dopaminergic signaling is related to the anticipation but not to the consumption of reward.^[58–60] In humans, neural regions most strongly linked to the anticipation of reward include the ventral tegmental area, amygdala, and ventral striatum.^[51,61] Beyond a neural signature, the anticipation of reward is associated with self-report, behavioral, and psychophysiological markers (or “units of analysis”). Specifically, reward anticipation is indexed through self-report of expectancies of outcomes and the amount of effort expenditure for reward and the extent to which signals that cue reward enhance the rate of responding in order to receive reward (Pavlovian instrumental transfer paradigms).^[29] Psychophysiological indices include heart rate increases in relation to anticipation of rewards or to gain incentives (as in monetary incentive paradigms).^[62–66] Within Gray’s motivational theory of pathophysiology, Fowles (1988)^[67] identified heart rate as the key psychophysiological variable indexing the behavioral approach or appetitive motivational system. Sympathetic excitation appears as the major driver of heart rate responses to incentives,^[66] presumably in preparation for elevated metabolic demand that arises while executing the necessary activities to obtain rewards. Cardiovascular mobilization in the context of incentive task performance can therefore be interpreted as indexing the strength of anticipatory/motivational reward system activity, although levels of actual physical activity that naturally increase metabolic demand need to be controlled.^[68]

There is good evidence for symptoms of anhedonia to be associated with deficits in the anticipation of reward across several units of analysis. At the level of neural activation, depressed individuals show reduced activation in reward circuitries in anticipation of reward.^[69–75] Importantly, there is evidence for reduced ventral striatum responsivity to anticipation of reward to be particularly related to anhedonic symptoms.^[76,77] Also, dysphoric individuals expect to feel less positive emotions in future positive events^[78,79] and self-report less positive emotion in anticipation of a monetary reward^[57] compared to healthy controls, although the evidence is not entirely robust.^[80] At the behavioral level, trait anhedonia among healthy individuals correlates with choosing easy tasks for a small reward over harder tasks for larger rewards, indicative of less expenditure of effort to gain reward.^[81] Also, depressed individuals make fewer high

reward/high effort choices than healthy controls,^[82] and importantly, the effort they expend to obtain rewards correlates negatively with anhedonia.^[82,83] In terms of psychophysiology, dysphoric individuals show less reliable acceleration of heart rate than healthy controls when performing memory or mental arithmetic tasks that are linked to monetary rewards.^[84–86]

Consumption/Liking. The consumption of reward appears to be related to opioid and endocannabinoid pathways.^[87] Neural regions most strongly linked with liking of reward include the ventral striatum (representing overlap with the anticipation of reward) and orbitofrontal cortex.^[51,61] Self-report of liking of reward typically involves ratings of pleasure or preference in relation to primary and secondary rewarding stimuli.^[88,89] Cognitive measures include the amount of time that attention is directed to positive stimuli using paradigms such as dot probe tasks.^[90–92] Psychophysiological indices include electromyographic measurements of facial muscle activation,^[88,89] eye blink startle reflexes, and heart rate acceleration while viewing pleasant or exciting pictorial stimuli.^[93–96]

At the level of neural activation, there is good evidence for ventral striatum hypoactivity to positive stimuli to be associated with depression and particularly with symptoms of anhedonia.^[69,97–99] Furthermore, Wacker et al. (2009)^[99] established that the effects were specific to anhedonia above and beyond negative symptoms of anxiety or depression. The evidence for lower self-rated liking of reward as a function of depression is mixed, as some studies fail to show deficits in liking of reward^[100–102] and others report blunted self-reported positive emotion to the sweet taste task, a basic consumption task.^[103] However, the discrepancies may be partially due to anhedonia, since lower levels of self-reported positive emotions to positive stimuli are more strongly related to anhedonia than depression.^[100,104] In terms of cognitive functioning, depressed individuals show less attention to positive stimuli than controls as measured via response latency times in dot probe tasks and eye tracking.^[90–92] Moreover, attention to positive information is associated with positive affect.^[105] Psychophysiological indices show that depressed individuals have reduced facial expressions and less contraction of zygomatic muscles to positive stimuli.^[106] A number of studies have found reduced attenuation of the startle blink reflex to positive stimuli in depressed individuals^[107–110] although some failures to replicate exist.^[111] Deficits of pleasure-attenuation of the startle reflex have also been observed in animal models of depression.^[112] Furthermore, attenuation of startle blink reflex modulation has been linked to anhedonia in depressed patients in one study Kaviani et al. (2004),^[113] although another study found no association with anhedonia^[107] and a third study found similar levels of startle potentiation to positive picture in patients with anhedonia and anxious arousal.^[109] Thus, the findings regarding startle blink reflex and anhedonia are mixed. Finally, anhedonia is associated with reduced cardiac acceleration while viewing pleasant pictorial

stimuli^[94] or during imagery of pleasant emotional scripts.^[114]

Learning. As with the anticipation of reward, learning of reward appears to be associated with dopaminergic signaling.^[58–60] Various areas of the prefrontal cortex have been implicated in decision making and reward learning, and animal research highlights areas such as the ACC, orbitofrontal cortex (representing overlap with consumption of reward), vmPFC and dorsal lateral PFC.^[51] There are no self-report measures of learning reward, although behavioral measures of reward learning include reward probabilistic tasks.^[115] The distinction between anticipation of reward and reward learning is not as strong as the distinction between liking and anticipation. Nonetheless, some evidence for distinction exists. For example, dopamine enhancement increases neural activation in response to signals of incentive salience (i.e. liking) but does not increase neural activation to signals of prediction (i.e. learning)^[116] and wanting of a cue can be motivated by changes in motivational state without changing prior learned associations.^[117]

At the neural level, depressed individuals show reduced activation in reward circuitries during reward learning^[118] and poor reversal learning after unexpected reward delivery in depressed individuals is associated with deficits in the ventral striatum.^[119] The monetary incentive delay task also reveals lower activation in the dorsal caudate (an area connected with feedback driven contingency learning) in depressed individuals.^[69] There is some evidence for blunted ventral striatal responses to Pavlovian^[118] and instrumental conditioning tasks^[120] in depression with neural responses correlating with self-reported anhedonia.^[120] In terms of behavioral indices, the propensity to develop a response bias to stimuli that are more frequently rewarded is less likely to develop over time as a function of higher depressive symptoms.^[115,121] Importantly, impairments in response bias formation among clinically depressed individuals correlate with self-reported anhedonia.^[56,115,121] Furthermore, reduced reward learning predicted elevated anhedonia symptoms one month later^[115] and depressed adults with poor, as compared to those with relatively intact reward learning, were almost eight times more likely to remain symptomatic at the end of SSRI or psychotherapy.^[121]

TARGETED TREATMENT FOR DEFICITS IN THE APPETITIVE REWARD SYSTEM

The linkages between symptoms of anhedonia on the one hand, and neural, psychophysiological, behavioral, cognitive, and self-report measures of different facets of reward processing on the other hand, are clearly correlational in nature. Thus, it cannot be assumed that deficits in reward processing cause anhedonia. Yet, an extensive body of research on instrumental conditioning demonstrates a causal link between positive reinforcement for a behavior and its frequency of occurrence.^[122] Also, appetitive Pavlovian conditioning

imbues neural stimuli with positive valence.^[123] Furthermore, many studies have demonstrated that primary reinforcers enhance positive affect in healthy controls, as reviewed in prior sections (e.g. the sweet taste task). Together, these data raise the possibility that a treatment that specifically targets reward processing may be particularly potent for anhedonia. As reviewed above, affective neuroscience has advanced our understanding of reward processing through distinguishing the neural substrates of different components of reward processing. We have focused on the components of (1) reward anticipation/wanting/motivation, (2) reward consumption/liking and (3) reward learning (although as indicated, additional conceptualizations of reward systems, such as satiety and devaluation, exist). The distinction amongst these three components provides a format around which behavioral interventions can be structured. Furthermore, such reward-targeted treatments may influence neural systems of reward in the same way that threat-targeted treatments influence neural indices of threat responding.^[124] Drawing from affective neuroscience research and experimental psychopathology research, we have developed a treatment that specifically targets three cardinal subdomains within the RDoC Positive Valence Systems: anticipation, consumption, and learning of reward. We are currently investigating the effects of this treatment in anxious or depressed individuals. Our treatment manipulates cognitive and behavioral manifestations of purported underlying neural systems (e.g. ventral striatum and orbitofrontal cortex) with cognitive and behavioral strategies. However, future neuroimaging will be needed to demonstrate actual effects upon neurobiology of reward.

The treatment, coined Positive Affect Treatment, is comprised of three modules (see Table 2). We selected therapeutic techniques that directly target positive affect or have been shown to improve positive affect. Furthermore, we selected techniques that directly targeted one or more of the reward system components (anticipation, consumption, and learning). Given the limited mechanistic research on anhedonia, we chose a range of techniques to maximize improvements in positive affect. Dismantling studies will be necessary to isolate which techniques are essential. Furthermore, techniques aimed at reducing negative affect, such as monitoring and challenging of negative thoughts, or strategies for decreasing arousal, or exposure to feared or avoided activities, were purposefully excluded.

The first module is modified pleasant events scheduling, which combines planning for engagement in pleasurable activities (reward anticipation) and reinforcement of the positive mood inducing effects of those activities (reward learning) with “in-the-moment” recounting designed to savor pleasurable moments and enhance hedonic impact (reward consumption). Pleasant events scheduling (PES) as a treatment approach is rooted in Lewinsohn’s conceptualization of etiology and maintenance of depression.^[125] This view holds that depression is preceded by and correlates with low levels

of reinforcement, and alleviated by treatment-induced increases in positive reinforcement. Notably, individuals with depression not only engage in less rewarding activities but experience these activities as less rewarding. Unlike cognitive theories of depression, disruption of automatic behavioral patterns (i.e. regular daily activities) is thought to be caused by environmental stressors rather than negative cognitions. The goal is thus to reactivate adaptive behaviors. Activation of adaptive behaviors within the context of Behavioral Activation, and extension from PES, has been shown to be effective for depression as a sole treatment component^[126] and superior to cognitive therapy.^[127] However, our treatment approach is more closely aligned with PES in that, unlike Behavioral Activation, we do not focus on depressed thinking, rumination, or active disengagement in activities that maintain negative mood.^[42]

Specifically, clients engage in daily activities that are inherently pleasurable or provide a sense of accomplishment, mastery, or are consistent with valued actions. PES is closely monitored through recording daily activities and changes in mood before and after the activity, and labeling of the positive emotion experienced during the activities. We extend beyond traditional PES via in-session recounting of the activity, in which therapists guide clients as they imagine and recall their activities in the present tense. In so doing, we are training clients in how to optimize positive emotions in relation to the conduct of their activities, as recommended by Dunn (2012).^[48] Clients are repeatedly guided to attend to the physical sensations, thoughts, behaviors, and positive mood associated with particular moments of the activity in order to deepen and savor positive aspects of the experience. The recounting aspect was guided by evidence for attentional control (shifting attention from one aspect of a situation to another) as a form of emotion regulation^[128] and for the effects of training attention to positive aspects of experience.^[129] Specifically, there is evidence showing that attentional bias to positive stimuli (using visual stimuli) can be trained and lead to subsequent preferences for positive stimuli, albeit in nonclinical samples.^[130] Wadlinger and Isaacowitz (2011)^[129] offer several possible mechanisms for such effects, including the possibility that attentional training to positive information increases preference for positive material which in turn decreases interest in negative information.^[129] Furthermore, training positive attentional preferences may enhance attentional vigilance for and orienting toward positive information that eventually shifts more elaborate attention mechanisms toward positive meanings and facilitates encoding of positive information in daily experiences. Consequently, training attention to positive features of experience is likely to increase positive affect, perhaps via attentional processes that reinforce positive affect, and is posited to increase tendencies to approach rewards in the environment.^[129] Finally, the modified PES module identifies barriers to carrying out activities and solution strategies (e.g. breaking down the activities into small, achievable tasks).

TABLE 2. Positive affect treatment overview: 15 weekly sessions

Modules	How is target engaged
Pleasant events scheduling (7 sessions) Design activities Targeted mediator: anticipation	Goal setting and anticipation of reward by generating and scheduling activities that (1) currently find enjoyable, once found enjoyable, or believe could be enjoyable, (2) bring value to life, or (3) produce feelings of mastery (or other positive emotions)
Conduct activities Targeted mediator: Consumption + learning Recount activities Targeted mediator: consumption Theoretical and empirical support ^[48,126,129]	Train to savor reward and learn activity-mood instrumental associations by recording primary positive emotions prior to, during, or following the activity Train to savor reward through therapist-guided in-the-moment recounting of positive aspects of activities and associated emotions, sensations, and thoughts
Attending to the positive (3 sessions) Finding the silver lining Targeted mediator: anticipation Taking ownership Targeted mediator: Consumption + learning Imagining the positive Targeted mediator: anticipation Theoretical and empirical support ^[129,132-134,153]	Train attention to positive stimuli by repeated practice identifying positive features of daily situations Learn to recognize behavior-mood associations and to savor positive emotions and events by repeated practice identifying behavioral contributions to positive outcomes Train to imagine positive outcomes from imagined future events
Cultivating the positive (4 sessions) Loving-kindness Generosity Appreciative joy Gratitude Targeted mediator: Consumption Theoretical and empirical support. ^[135-137,144,154]	Cultivate positive feelings toward self and others by daily practices of (1) mental act of giving (i.e. mentally sending happiness, health, peace, and freedom from suffering); (2) physical act of giving (i.e. engaging in an act of generosity at least once daily (without expecting return); (3) mental act of wishing good to self and others (i.e. wishing happiness and good, joy, and fortune), (4) generating sense of gratefulness. Practices are accompanied by savoring positive emotions and are supported by audio-scripts

Session 15 is relapse prevention.

The second module comprises a set of cognitive training exercises. Unlike cognitive therapy for depression, which aims to challenge negative cognitions, our cognitive techniques aim to identify positive aspects of experience (reward anticipation and consumption), take responsibility for positive outcomes (reward learning), and imagine and appreciate future positive events (reward anticipation). The first technique, titled *Silver Lining*, trains clients to recognize and appreciate the positive features in everyday situations, even situations with an overall negative valence. As with recounting following PES, the repeated practice of identifying multiple positive elements in everyday situations draws from evidence for training attention to positive information.^[129] The second technique, called *Taking Ownership*, involves repeated practice identifying behavioral contributions to positive outcomes in daily lives (reward learning) and to savor positive emotions of pride, mastery, and excitement (reward consumption). Accomplishments can be read out loud in front of a mirror to deepen the experience of receipt of reward. Taking ownership counters the depressive attributional bias to attribute positive outcomes to external factors and is consistent with experimental evidence for training a positive attributional bias, albeit in nonclinical samples.^[131] The third technique is called *Imagining the Positive*, which is based on evidence for positive mood effects of repeated practice imagining positive events.^[132-134] Clients repeatedly

imagine as many positive aspects as possible about an upcoming event (reward anticipation), including positive emotions such as excitement, joy, and curiosity (reward consumption).

The third module is a set of experiential exercises designed to cultivate and savor positive experiences (reward consumption).^[135-137] These include daily practices of the mental act of giving (*Loving-Kindness*: mentally sending happiness, health, peace, and freedom from suffering) and the physical act of giving (*Generosity*: engaging in an act of generosity at least once daily without expecting return). They also involve daily practices of the mental act of wishing good to self and others (*Appreciative Joy*: wishing happiness and good, joy, and fortune) and of generating sense of gratefulness (*Gratitude*). Practices are accompanied by savoring positive emotions and are supported by audio-scripts. Much of the content of these practices were adapted from loving kindness, generosity, appreciative joy, and gratitude meditations developed at the UCLA Mindful Awareness Research Center (MARC). We modified these techniques so that the exercises focused only on positive aspects.

Loving-Kindness Meditation emphasizes in-the-moment nonjudgmental, curious awareness of sensory experiences, affective states, and cognitions^[138,139] as unconditional kindness is cultivated by mentally sending specific wishes to others and oneself. Loving-Kindness Meditation is thought to be particularly

helpful for alleviating strong negative emotions such as hostility, anger, self-criticism, and shame through increases in empathy and positive mood. Practices in the exercise, even brief ones,^[140] have shown to lead to increases in positivity toward self and others, improvements in positive affect and personal resources (e.g. personal relationship with others, physical health, self-acceptance, satisfaction).^[141] Preliminary evidence from proof-of-concept clinical trials in individuals with schizophrenia,^[142] posttraumatic stress disorder,^[143] and more recently in dysthymia^[144] show increases in positive emotions, anhedonia, and an improved sense of self and others. In our treatment, clients are given an audio-guide to generate an image of the recipient (self, another person, a pet), gently sending loving kindness (“may you be healthy,” “may you have peace,” “may you be free from suffering”) while mindfully observing how “wishing well” influences their emotions in the moment. Practices proceed from recipients with which the client already has positive feelings to practices with recipients toward which the client has felt resentful, hurtful, or hostile.

Cultivating gratitude (by gratitude lists, gratitude contemplation, or the behavioral expression of gratitude) leads to state changes in positive mood, greater resourcefulness, and general well-being,^[137,145–147] albeit in non-clinical samples. It is speculated that gratitude leads to increased value of help from others^[137,148], which leads to seeking more social support and strengthening social bonds.^[149] This “broaden and build” approach^[150] is thought to add to resiliency.^[151] In our treatment, clients engage in daily gratitude exercises that entail daily listing of five to ten things to be grateful for, writing a gratitude journal, or carrying of a gratitude rock that acts as a reminder, with ongoing recording of physical feelings, emotions, and thoughts.

CONCLUSION

We have outlined a novel treatment for anhedonia and its rationale based on neurobiological evidence for reward system dysfunctions. The treatment is being evaluated among anxious or unipolar depressed individuals but has the potential to contribute to the treatment of other disorders that have anhedonic features, such as substance abuse and schizophrenia. Initial findings are promising, with significant reductions in symptoms of anxiety and depression, significant improvements in positive affect, and evidence for superior outcomes in those with lower levels of positive affect at baseline.^[152] Levels of clinically significant anhedonia, optimal timing of the intervention, dismantling of the intervention, and moderation and disorder-specific considerations will need to be established by future research. As treatment research moves toward targeting neurobiological systems as advocated by the NIMH RDoC initiative, the interaction of dysfunctions in the positive valence (reward) systems with those in other systems, such as negative valence and cognitive systems, will require full atten-

tion of both basic research and treatment development research. Although our knowledge of neurobiological systems is still evolving, designing and testing novel treatments can effectively probe and refine our conceptualizations of these systems. The continuous cross-fertilization between basic neurobiological research and applied research into novel treatment applications will accelerate scientific progress in this area and offer the potential for large benefit for affected individuals.

Acknowledgment. The authors of the manuscript wish to acknowledge the important contributions of Diego Pizzagalli, Ph.D., who gave feedback on the presentation and content of the manuscript, David Rosenfield, Ph.D., who was involved in initial discussions pertaining to the development of Positive Affect Treatment and its measurement, and the UCLA Mindful Awareness Research Center, who gave guidance on techniques involved in loving kindness, appreciative joy, generosity, and gratitude.

All authors report no conflicts of interest or financial disclosures related to this work.

REFERENCES

1. Norton PJ, Price EC. A meta-analytic review of adult cognitive-behavioral treatment outcome across the anxiety disorders. *J Nerv Mental Dis* 2007;195(6):521–531.
2. Hofmann SG, Smits JA. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for adult anxiety disorders: a meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2008;69(4):621–632.
3. Butler AC, Chapman JE, Forman EM, Beck AT. The empirical status of cognitive-behavioral therapy: a review of meta-analyses. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2006;26(1):17–31.
4. Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Weitz E, Andersson G, Hollon SD, van Straten A. The effects of psychotherapies for major depression in adults on remission, recovery and improvement: a meta-analysis. *J Affective Disorders* 2014;159:118–126.
5. Newby JM, McKinnon A, Kuyken W, Gilbody S, Dalgleish T. Systematic review and meta-analysis of transdiagnostic psychological treatments for anxiety and depressive disorders in adulthood. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2015;40:91–110.
6. Loerinc AG, Meuret AE, Twohig MP, Rosenfield D, Bluett EJ, Craske MG. Response rates for CBT for anxiety disorders: need for standardized criteria. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2015;42:72–82.
7. Clark LA, & Watson D. Tripartite model of anxiety and depression: psychometric evidence and taxonomic implications. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1991;100(3):316–336.
8. Watson D, Clark LA, Weber K, Assenheimer JS, Strauss ME, McCormick RA. Testing a tripartite model: II. Exploring the symptom structure of anxiety and depression in student, adult, and patient samples. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1995;104:15–25.
9. Brown TA, Chorpita BF, Barlow DH. Structural relationships among dimensions of the DSM-IV anxiety and mood disorders and dimensions of negative affect, positive affect, and autonomic arousal. *J Abnorm Psychol* 1998;107(2):179–192.
10. Shankman SA, Klein DN. The relation between depression and anxiety: an evaluation of the tripartite, approach-withdrawal and valence-arousal models. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2003;23:605–637.
11. Gray JA. Brain systems that mediate both emotion and cognition. *Cogn Emotion* 1990;4:269–288.

12. Davidson RJ. Affective neuroscience and psychophysiology: toward a synthesis. *Psychophysiology* 2003;40:655–665.
13. Satterthwaite TD, Kable JW, Vandekar L, et al. Common and dissociable dysfunction of the reward system in bipolar and unipolar depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2015;40(9):2258–2268.
14. Redlich R, Dohm K, Grotegerd D, et al. Reward processing in unipolar and bipolar depression: a functional MRI study. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2015;40(11):2623–2631.
15. Prenoveau JM, Zinbarg RE, Craske MG, Mineka S, Griffith JW, Epstein A. Testing a hierarchical model of anxiety and depression in adolescents: a trilevel model. *J Anxiety Disord* 2010;24:334–344.
16. Insel T, Cuthbert B, Garvey M, et al. Research domain criteria (RDoC): toward a new classification framework for research on mental disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 2010;167(7):748–751.
17. Sanislow CA, Pine DS, Quinn KJ, et al. Developing constructs for psychopathology research: research domain criteria. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2010;119(4):631–639.
18. Engel GL. The need for a new medical model: a challenge for biomedicine. *Science* 1977;196:129–136.
19. Snaith RP. Identifying depression: the significance of anhedonia. *Hospital Practice* 1993;28:55–60.
20. American Psychiatric Association. 2013. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. Washington, DC.
21. Watson D, Naragon-Gainey K. On the specificity of positive emotional dysfunction in psychopathology: evidence from the mood and anxiety disorders and schizophrenia/schizotypy. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2010;30(7):839–848.
22. Pelizza L, Ferrari A. Anhedonia in schizophrenia and major depression: state or trait? *Ann Gen Psychiatry* 2009;8:22.
23. Kashdan TB. Social anxiety spectrum and diminished positive experiences: theoretical synthesis and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2007;27(3):348–365.
24. Kashdan TB, Weeks JW, Savostyanova A. Whether, how, and when social anxiety shapes positive experiences and events: a self-regulatory framework and treatment implications. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2011;31:786–799.
25. Hoper JW, Pitman RK, Su Z, et al. Probing reward function in posttraumatic stress disorder: expectancy and satisfaction with monetary gains and losses. *J Psychiatric Res* 2008;42(10):802–807.
26. Litz BT, Orsillo SM, Kaloupek D, Weathers F. Emotional processing in posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2000;109(1):26–39.
27. Srivastava S, Sharma HO, Mandal MK. Mood induction with facial expressions of emotion in patients with generalized anxiety disorder. *Depress Anxiety* 2003;18(3):144–148.
28. Morris BH, Bylsma LM, Yaroslavsky I, Kovacs M, Rottenberg J. Reward learning in pediatric depression and anxiety: preliminary findings in a high-risk sample. *Depress Anxiety* 2015;32(5):373–381.
29. Thomsen KR, Whybrow PC, Kringelbach ML. Reconceptualizing anhedonia: novel perspectives on balancing the pleasure networks in the human brain. *Frontiers Behav Neurosci* 2015;9:49.
30. Morris BH, Bylsma LM, Rottenberg J. Does emotion predict the course of major depressive disorder? A review of prospective studies. *Br J Clin Psychol* 2009;48(3):255–273.
31. Fawcett J, Scheftner WA, Fogg L, Clark DC, Young MA, Hedeker D, et al. Time-related predictors of suicide in major affective disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 1990;147:1189–1194.
32. Winer ES, Nadorff MR, Ellis TE, Allen JG, Herrera S, Salem T. Anhedonia predicts suicidal ideation in a large psychiatric inpatient sample. *Psychiatry Res* 2014;218(1–2):124–128.
33. Kendall AD, Zinbarg RE, Mineka S, Bobova L, Prenoveau JM, Revelle W, et al. Prospective associations of low positive emotionality with first onsets of depressive and anxiety disorders: results from a 10-wave latent trait-state modeling study. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2015;124(4):933–943.
34. McMakin DL, Olino TM, Porta G, et al. Anhedonia predicts poorer recovery among youth with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment-resistant depression. *J Am Acad Child Adolescent Psychiatry* 2012;51:404–411.
35. Uher R, Perlis RH, Henigsberg N, et al. Depression symptom dimensions as predictors of antidepressant treatment outcome: replicable evidence for interest-activity symptoms. *Psychol Med* 2012;42:967–980.
36. Craske MG, Niles AN, Burklund LJ, et al. Randomized controlled trial of cognitive behavioral therapy and acceptance and commitment therapy for social anxiety disorder: outcomes and moderators. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2014;80(5):786–799.
37. Price J, Cole V, Goodwin GM. Emotional side-effects of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: qualitative study. *Br J Psychiatry* 2009;195:211–217.
38. McCabe C, Mishor Z, Cowen PJ, Harmer CJ. Diminished neural processing of aversive and rewarding stimuli during selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010;67:439–445.
39. Landen M, Hogberg P, Thase ME. Incidence of sexual side effects in refractory depression during treatment with citalopram or paroxetine. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005;66:100–106.
40. Nierenberg AA, Keefe BR, Leslie VC, Alpert JE, Pava JA, Worthington JJ 3rd, et al. Residual symptoms in depressed patients who respond acutely to fluoxetine. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1999;60(4):221–225.
41. Lally N, Nugent AC, Luckenbaugh DA, Ameli R, Roiser JP, Zarate CA. Anti-anhedonic effect of ketamine and its neural correlates in treatment-resistant bipolar depression. *Transl Psychiatry* 2014;4:1–9.
42. Martell CR, Dimidjian S, Herman-Dunn R. *Behavioral activation for depression: a clinician's guide*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press; 2010.
43. Dichter GS, Felder JN, Petty C, Bizzell J, Ernst M, Smoski MJ. The effects of psychotherapy on neural responses to rewards in major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2009;66(9):886–897.
44. Moore RC, Chattillion EA, Ceglowski J, et al. A randomized clinical trial of behavioral activation (BA) therapy for improving psychological and physical health in dementia caregivers: results of the pleasant events program (PEP). *Behav Res Ther* 2013;51:623–632.
45. DeRubeis, RJ, Hollon SD, Amsterdam JD, et al. Cognitive therapy vs medications in the treatment of moderate to severe depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005;62(4):409–416.
46. Crawford JR, Henry JD. The positive and negative affect schedule (PANAS): construct validity, measurement properties and normative data in a large non-clinical sample. *Br J Clin Psychol* 2004;43:245–265.
47. Dunn BD, German Hollon SD, DeRubeis JD. (under review). Changes in positive and negative affect during a randomized placebo controlled trial of Cognitive Therapy versus Antidepressant Medication Therapy for Major Depressive Disorder.
48. Dunn BD. Helping depressed clients reconnect to positive emotion experience: current insights and future directions. *Clin Psychol Psychother* 2012;19(4):326–340.
49. Sherrington CS. *The Integrative Action of the Nervous System*. New York: C. Scribner's sons; 1906.

50. Berridge KC, Kringelbach ML. Neuroscience of affect: brain mechanisms of pleasure and displeasure. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2013;23:294–303.
51. Der-Avakian A, Markou A. The neurobiology of anhedonia and other reward related deficits. *Trends Neurosci* 2012;35:68–77.
52. Treadway MT, Zald DH. Reconsidering anhedonia in depression: lessons from translational neuroscience. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2011;35(3):537–555.
53. Pizzagalli DA. Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis and integrated model. *Ann Rev Clin Psychol* 2014;10:393–423.
54. Henriques JB, Davidson RJ. Decreased responsiveness to reward in depression. *Cogn Emotion* 2000;14:711–724.
55. Jazbec S, McClure E, Hardin M, Pine DS, Ernst M. Cognitive control under contingencies in anxious and depressed adolescents: an antisaccade task. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005;58(8):632–639.
56. Pizzagalli DA, Iosifescu D, Hallett LA, Ratner KG, Fava M. Reduced hedonic capacity in major depressive disorder: evidence from a probabilistic reward task. *J Psychiatr Res* 2008;43:76–87.
57. McFarland BR, Klein DN. Emotional reactivity in depression: diminished responsiveness to anticipated reward but not to anticipated punishment or to nonreward or avoidance. *Depress Anxiety* 2009;26(2):117–122.
58. Wise RA. Dopamine, learning and motivation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2004;5:483–494.
59. Flagel SB, Clark JJ, Robinson TE, et al. A selective role for dopamine in stimulus-reward learning. *Nature* 2011;469:53–57.
60. Salamone JD, Correa M. The mysterious motivational functions of mesolimbic dopamine. *Neuron* 2012;76:470–485.
61. Castro DC, Berridge KC. Advances in the neurobiological bases for food ‘liking’ versus ‘wanting’. *Physiol Behav* 2014;136:22–30.
62. Brenner SL, Beauchaine TP, Sylvers PD. A comparison of psychophysiological and self-report measures of BAS and BIS activation. *Psychophysiology* 2005;42(1):108–115.
63. Fowles DC, Fisher AE, Tranel DT. The heart beats to reward: the effect of monetary incentive on heart rate. *Psychophysiology* 1982;19(5):506–513.
64. Tranel DT, Fisher AE, Fowles DC. Magnitude of incentive effects on heart rate. *Psychophysiology* 1982;19(5):514–519.
65. Wright RA, Killebrew K, Pimpalpure D. Cardiovascular incentive effects where a challenge is unfixed: demonstrations involving social evaluation, evaluator status, and monetary reward. *Psychophysiology* 2002;39(2):188–197.
66. Richter M, Gendolla GH. Incentive effects on cardiovascular reactivity in active coping with unclear task difficulty. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2006;61(2):216–225.
67. Fowles DC. Psychophysiology and psychopathology: a motivational approach. *Psychophysiology* 1988;25:373–391.
68. Turner JR, Carroll, D. Heart rate and oxygen consumption during mental arithmetic, a video game, and graded exercise: further evidence of metabolically-exaggerated cardiac adjustments? *Psychophysiology* 1985;22:261–267.
69. Pizzagalli DA, Holmes AJ, Dillon DG Goetz EL, et al. Reduced caudate and nucleus accumbens response to rewards in unmedicated subjects with Major Depressive Disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2009;166:702–710.
70. Smoski MJ, Felder J, Bizzell J, et al. fMRI of alterations in reward selection, anticipation, and feedback in major depressive disorder. *J Affective Disord* 2009;118(1–3):69–78.
71. McCabe C, Cowen PJ, Harmer CJ. Neural representation of reward in recovered depressed patients. *Psychopharmacology* 2009;205(4):667–677.
72. Steele JD, Kumar P, Ebmeier KP. Blunted response to feedback information in depressive illness. *Brain* 2007;130(9):2367–2374.
73. Forbes EE, Hariri AR, Martin SL, et al. Altered striatal activation predicting real-world positive affect in adolescent major depressive disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2009;166(1):64–73.
74. Chentsova-Dutton Y, Hanley K. The effects of anhedonia and depression on hedonic responses. *Psychiatry Res* 2010;179:176–180.
75. Forbes EE, Christopher May J, Siegle GJ, et al. Reward-related decision-making in pediatric major depressive disorder: an fMRI study. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry Allied Discipl* 2006;47(10):1031–1040.
76. Stoy M, Schlagenhaut F, Sterzer P, et al. Hyporeactivity of ventral striatum towards incentive stimuli in unmedicated depressed patients normalizes after treatment with escitalopram. *J Psychopharmacol* 2011;26(5):677–688.
77. Ubl B, Kuehner C, Kirsch P, Ruttorf M, Diener C, Flor H. Altered neural reward and loss processing and prediction error signalling in depression. *Social Cogn Affective Neurosci* 2015;10(8):1102–1112.
78. Yuan JW, Kring AM. Dysphoria and the prediction and experience of emotion. *Cogn Emotion* 2009;23(6):1221–1232.
79. Hoerger, M, Quirk, SW, Chapman, BP, Duberstein, PR. Affective forecasting and self-rated symptoms of depression, anxiety, and hypomania: evidence for a dysphoric forecasting bias. *Cogn Emotion* 2012;26:1098–1106.
80. Knutson B, Bhanji JP, Cooney RE, Atlas LY, Gotlib IH. Neural responses to monetary incentives in major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008;63(7):686–692.
81. Treadway MT, Buckholtz JW, Schwartzman AN, Lambert WE, Zald DH. Worth the ‘EEfRT’? The effort expenditure for rewards task as an objective measure of motivation and anhedonia. *PLoS One* 2009;4(8):e6598.
82. Treadway MT, Bossaller NA, Shelton RC, Zald DH. Effort-based decision-making in major depressive disorder: a translational model of motivational anhedonia. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2012;121:553–558.
83. Yang XH, Huang J, Zhu CY, Wang YF, Cheung EF, Chan RC, Xie GR. Motivational deficits in effort-based decision making in individuals with subsyndromal depression, first-episode and remitted depression patients. *Psychiatry Res* 2014;220(3):874–882.
84. Brinkmann K, Schüpbach L, Joye IA, Gendolla GH. Anhedonia and effort mobilization in dysphoria: reduced cardiovascular response to reward and punishment. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2009;74(3):250–258.
85. Brinkmann K, Franzen J. Insensitivity to varying levels of reward in dysphoria. *Biol Psychol* 2013;94(2):263–271.
86. Franzen J, Brinkmann K. Blunted cardiovascular reactivity in dysphoria during reward and punishment anticipation. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2015;95(3):270–277.
87. Mahler SV, Smith KS, Berridge KC. Endocannabinoid hedonic hotspot for sensory pleasure: anandamide in nucleus accumbens shell enhances ‘liking’ of a sweet reward. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2007;32:2267–2278.
88. Dimberg U, Thunberg M. Rapid facial reactions to emotional facial expressions. *Scand J Psychol* 1998;39(1):39–45.
89. Dimberg U, Thunberg M, Elmehed K. Unconscious facial reactions to emotional facial expressions. *Psychol Sci* 2000;11(1):86–89.
90. Armstrong T, Olatunji BO. What they see is what you get: eye tracking of attention in the anxiety disorders. *Psychol Sci Agenda* 2009;23:3.
91. Peckham AD, McHugh RK, Otto MW. A meta-analysis of the magnitude of biased attention in depression. *Depress Anxiety* 2010;27(12):1135–1142.

92. Fritzsche K, Dahme B, Gotlib IH, et al. Specificity of cognitive biases in patients with current depression and remitted depression and in patients with asthma. *Psychol Med* 2010;40:815–826.
93. Winton WM, Putnam LE, Krauss RM. Facial and autonomic manifestations of the dimensional structure of emotion. *J Exp Soc Psychol* 1984;20:195–216.
94. Fitzgibbons L, Simons RF. Affective response to color-slide stimuli in subjects with physical anhedonia: a three-systems analysis. *Psychophysiology* 1992;29(6):613–620.
95. Lang PJ, Greenwald MK, Bradley MM, Hamm AO. Looking at pictures: affective, facial, visceral, and behavioral reactions. *Psychophysiology* 1993;30(3):261–273.
96. Ritz, T., Alatupa, S., Thöns, M., Dahme, B. Effects of affective picture viewing and imagery on respiratory resistance in nonasthmatic individuals. *Psychophysiology* 2002;39:86–94.
97. Epstein J, Pan H, Kocsis JH, et al. Lack of ventral striatal response to positive stimuli in depressed versus normal subjects. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006;163(10):1784–1790.
98. Keedwell PA, Andrew C, Williams SC, Brammer MJ, Phillips ML. The neural correlates of anhedonia in major depressive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005;58(11):843–853.
99. Wacker J, Dillon DG, Pizzagalli DA. The role of the nucleus accumbens and rostral anterior cingulate cortex in anhedonia: integration of resting EEG, fMRI, and volumetric techniques. *NeuroImage* 2009;46(1):327–337.
100. Berlin I, Givry-Steiner L, Lecrubier Y, Puech AJ. Measures of anhedonia and hedonic responses to sucrose in depressive and schizophrenic patients in comparison with healthy subjects. *Eur Psychiatry* 1998;13:303–309.
101. Dichter GS, Felder JN, Smoski M. The effects of brief behavioral activation therapy for depression on cognitive control in affective contexts: an fMRI investigation. *J Affective Disord* 2010;126(1–2):236–244.
102. Swiecicki L, Zatorski P, Bzinkowska D, Sienkiewicz-Jarosz H, Szyndler J, Scinska A. Gustatory and olfactory function in patients with unipolar and bipolar depression. *Progress Neuro-Psychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2009;33(5):827–834.
103. Bylsma LM, Morris BH, Rottenberg J. A meta-analysis of emotional reactivity in major depressive disorder. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2008;28(4):676–691.
104. Clepce M, Gossler A, Reich K, Kornhuber J, Thuerauf N. The relation between depression, anhedonia and olfactory hedonic estimates—a pilot study in major depression. *Neurosci Lett* 2010;471(3):139–143.
105. Tamir M, Robinson MD. The happy spotlight: positive mood and selective attention to rewarding information. *Person Soc Psychol Bull* 2007;33(8):1124–1136.
106. Sloan DM, Strauss ME, Wisner KL. Diminished response to pleasant stimuli by depressed women. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2001;110:488–493.
107. Allen NB, Trinder J, Brennan C. Affective startle modulation in clinical depression: preliminary findings. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999;46(4):542–550.
108. Dichter GS, Tomarken AJ, Shelton RC, Sutton SK. Early- and late-onset startle modulation in unipolar depression. *Psychophysiology* 2004;41(3):433–440.
109. Larson CL, Nitschke JB, Davidson RJ. Common and distinct patterns of affective response in dimensions of anxiety and depression. *Emotion* 2007;7(1):182–191.
110. Mneimne M, McDermut W, Powers AS. Affective ratings and startle modulation in people with nonclinical depression. *Emotion* 2008;8:552–559.
111. Forbes EE, Miller A, Cohn JF, Fox NA, Kovacs M. Affect-modulated startle in adults with childhood-onset depression: relations to bipolar course and number of lifetime depressive episodes. *Psychiatry Res* 2005;134:11–25.
112. Enkel T, Spanagel R, Vollmayr B, Schneider M. Stress triggers anhedonia in rats bred for learned helplessness. *Behav Brain Res* 2010;209:183–186.
113. Kaviani H, Gray JA, Checkley SA, Raven PW, Wilson GD, Kumari V. Affective modulation of the startle response in depression: influence of the severity of depression, anhedonia, and anxiety. *J Affective Disord* 2004;83(1):21–31.
114. Fiorito ER, Simons RF. Emotional imagery and physical anhedonia. *Psychophysiology* 1994;31(5):513–521.
115. Pizzagalli DA, Jahn AL, O’Shea JP. Toward an objective characterization of an anhedonic phenotype: a signal-detection approach. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005;57(4):319–327.
116. Tindell AJ, Berridge KC, Zhang J, Pecina S, Aldridge JW. Ventral pallidal neurons code incentive motivation: amplification by mesolimbic sensitization and amphetamine. *Eur J Neurosci* 2005;22(10):2617–2634.
117. Robinson MJ, Berridge KC. Instant transformation of learned repulsion into motivational “wanting”. *Curr Biol* 2013;23(4):282–289.
118. Kumar P, Waiter G, Ahearn T, Milders M, Reid I, Steele JD. Abnormal temporal difference reward-learning signals in major depression. *Brain* 2008;131:2084–2093.
119. Robinson OJ, Cools R, Carlisi CO, Sahakian BJ, Drevets WC. Ventral striatum response during reward and punishment reversal learning in unmedicated major depressive disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2012;169:152–159.
120. Gradin VB, Kumar P, Waiter G, et al. Expected value and prediction error abnormalities in depression and schizophrenia. *Brain* 2011;134(6):1751–1764.
121. Vrieze E, Pizzagalli DA, Demyttenaere K, et al. Reduced reward learning predicts outcome in major depressive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013;73(7):639–645.
122. Levis DJ. Experimental and theoretical foundation of behavior modification. In: Alan S. Bellack, Michel Hersen, Alan E. Kazdin, editors. *International Handbook of Behavior Modification and Therapy*. 2nd ed. New York: Plenum Press; 2012:33–56.
123. Andreatta M, Pauli P. Appetitive vs. aversive conditioning in humans. *Front Behav Neurosci* 2015;9:128.
124. Goldin PR, Ziv M, Jazaieri H, Weeks J, Heimberg RG, Gross JJ. Impact of cognitive-behavioral therapy for social anxiety disorder on the neural bases of emotional reactivity to and regulation of social evaluation. *Behav Res Ther* 2014;62:97–106.
125. Lewinsohn PM. A behavioral approach to depression. In: RJ Friedman, MM Katz, editors. *The Psychology of Depression: Contemporary Theory and Research*. New York: Wiley; 1974:157–185.
126. Dimidjian S, Hollon SD, Dobson KS, et al. Randomized trial of behavioral activation, cognitive therapy, and antidepressant medication in the acute treatment of adults with major depression. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2006;74:658–670.
127. Dobson KS, Hollon SD, Dimidjian S, et al. Randomized trial of behavioral activation, cognitive therapy, and antidepressant medication in the prevention of relapse and recurrence in major depression. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2008;76(3):468–477.
128. Gross, JJ. The emerging field of emotion regulation: an integrative review. *Rev Gen Psychol* 1998;2(5):271–299.
129. Wadlinger HA, Isaacowitz DM. Fixing our focus: training attention to regulate emotion. *Personality Soc Psychol Rev* 2011;15:75–102.

130. Wadlinger HA, Isaacowitz DM. Looking happy: the experimental manipulation of a positive visual attention bias. *Emotion* 2008;8:121–126.
131. Peters KD, Constans, JI, Mathews A. Experimental modification of attribution processes. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2011;120(1):168–173.
132. Holmes D, Murray SJ, Perron A, Rail G. Deconstructing the evidence-based discourse in health sciences: truth, power and fascism. *Int J Evidence-Based Healthcare* 2006;4:180–186.
133. Holmes EA, Mathews A, Mackintosh B, Dalgleish T. The causal effect of mental imagery on emotion assessed using picture-word cues. *Emotion* 2008;8(3):395–409.
134. Pictet A, Coughtrey AE, Mathews A, Holmes EA Fishing for happiness: the effects of positive imagery on interpretation bias and a behavioral task. *Behav Res Ther* 2011;49:885–891.
135. Garland EL, Fredrickson B, Kring AM, Johnson DP, Meyer P, Penn DL. Upward spirals of positive emotions counter downward spirals of negativity: insights from the broaden-and-build theory and affective neuroscience on the treatment of emotion dysfunctions and deficits in psychopathology. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2010;30:849–864.
136. Hofmann SG, Grossman P, Hinton DE. Loving-kindness and compassion meditation: potential for psychological interventions. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2011;31:1126–1132.
137. Wood AM, Froh JJ, Geraghty AW. Gratitude and well-being: a review and theoretical integration. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2010;30(7):890–905.
138. Grossman P, Niemann L, Schmidt S, Walach H. Mindfulness-based stress reduction and health benefits: a meta-analysis. *J Psychosom Res* 2004;57:35–43.
139. Kabat-Zinn J. Mindfulness-based interventions in context: past, present, and future. *Clin Psychol* 2003;10:144–156.
140. Hutcherson CA, Seppala EM, Gross JJ. Loving-kindness meditation increases social connectedness. *Emotion* 2008;8:720–724.
141. Fredrickson BL, Cohn MA, Coffey KA, Pek J, Finkel, SM Open hearts build lives: positive emotions, induced through loving-kindness meditation, build consequential personal resources. *J Person Soc Psychol* 2008;95:1045–1062.
142. Mayhew SL, Gilbert P Compassionate mind training with people who hear malevolent voices: a case series report. *Clin Psychol Psychother* 2008;15:113–136.
143. Kearney DJ, Malte CA, McManus C, Martinez ME, Felleman B, Simpson TL. Loving-kindness meditation for posttraumatic stress disorder: a pilot study. *J Traumatic Stress* 2013;26(4):426–434.
144. Hofmann SG, Petrocchi N, Steinberg J, Lin M, Arimitsu K, Kind S, Mendes A, Stangier U. Loving-Kindness Meditation to Target Affect in Mood Disorders: A Proof-of-Concept Study. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2015;2015:269126. doi: 10.1155/2015/269126. Epub 2015 Jun 1.
145. Geraghty AWA, Wood AM, Hyland ME. Attrition from self-directed interventions: investigating the relationship between psychological predictors, technique and dropout from a body image intervention. *Soc Sci Med* 2010a;71:30–37.
146. Geraghty AWA, Wood AM, Hyland ME. Dissociating the facets of hope: agency and pathways predict attrition from unguided self-help in opposite directions. *J Res Person* 2010b;44:155–158.
147. Froh JJ, Kashdan TB, Ozimkowski KM, Miller N. Who benefits the most from a gratitude intervention in children and adolescents? Examining positive affect as a moderator. *J Positive Psychol* 2009;4:408–422.
148. Maltby J, Wood AM, Day L, Kon TWH, Colley A, Linley PA. Personality predictors of levels of forgiveness two and a half years after the transgression. *J Res Person* 2008;42:1088–1094.
149. Wood AM, Joseph S, Maltby J. Gratitude uniquely predicts satisfaction with life: incremental validity above the domains and facets of the five factor model. *Person Indiv Diff* 2008;45:49–54.
150. Fredrickson BL. The role of positive emotions in positive psychology—the broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *Am Psychol* 2001;56:218–226.
151. Emmons RA, McCullough ME. Counting blessings versus burdens: an experimental investigation of gratitude and subjective well-being in daily life. *J Person Soc Psychol* 2003;84:377–389.
152. Craske MG, Meuret A, Ritz T. Targeting elevations in threat sensitivity and deficits in reward sensitivity in anxiety and depression. 18th Annual Scientific Research Symposium. Anxiety and Depression Association of America, Miami, FL; 2015.
153. Waters AM, Pittaway M, Mogg K, Bradley BP, Pine DS. Attention training towards positive stimuli in clinically anxious children. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* 2013;4:77–84.
154. Galla BM, O'Reilly GA, Kitil J, Smalley SL, Black DS. Community-based mindfulness program for disease prevention and health promotion: targeting stress reduction. *Am J Health Promotion* 2015;30(1):36–41.